arXiv:cond-mat/0208430v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 22 Aug 2002

# Dynamical Correlations for Circular Ensembles of Random Matrices

Taro Nagao and Peter J. Forrester[†]

*Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University,*
*Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan*

[†]*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne,*
*Victoria 3010, Australia*

## Abstract

Circular Brownian motion models of random matrices were introduced by Dyson and describe the parametric eigenparameter correlations of unitary random matrices. For symmetric unitary, self-dual quaternion unitary and an analogue of antisymmetric hermitian matrix initial conditions, Brownian dynamics toward the unitary symmetry is analyzed. The dynamical correlation functions of arbitrary number of Brownian particles at arbitrary number of times are shown to be written in the forms of quaternion determinants, similarly as in the case of hermitian random matrix models.

*PACS*: 05.20.-y; 05.40.-a; 02.50.Ey

*KEYWORDS*: random matrix; Fokker-Planck equation; quaternion determinant

# 1 Introduction

Circular ensembles of random matrices were introduced by Dyson as the simplest possible models of complex energy spectra[1, 2]. Dyson further introduced a Brownian motion model to describe the parametric correlation of the energy levels[3]. His Brownian dynamics is specified by the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau} = \mathcal{L}p, \quad \mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \theta_j} + \frac{1}{\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}\right), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_N$ are the locations of Brownian particles, $\tau$ is the time variable and

$$W = -\sum_{j<l}^{N} \log\left|\mathrm{e}^{\theta_j} - \mathrm{e}^{\theta_l}\right|. \tag{1.2}$$

The stationary distribution of this Brownian dynamics is

$$p_S = \mathrm{e}^{-\beta W} = \prod_{j<l}^{N}\left|\mathrm{e}^{\theta_j} - \mathrm{e}^{\theta_l}\right|^{\beta} \tag{1.3}$$

satisfying $\partial p_S/\partial\tau = 0$. Using a perturbation theory, Dyson showed that it is a natural model to describe the parametric eigenparameter correlation of symmetric unitary, unitary and self-dual quaternion unitary random matrices, corresponding to $\beta = 1, 2$ and 4, respectively.

In order to solve the Fokker-Planck equation, a transformation of the Fokker-Planck operator $\mathcal{L}$ into a hermitian operator $\mathcal{H}$ is useful. The hermitian operator $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{e}^{\beta W/2}\mathcal{L}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta W/2} = -\frac{1}{\beta}(\mathcal{H} - E_0) \tag{1.4}$$

with a constant $E_0$ and explicitly written as

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\theta_j^2} + \frac{\beta(\beta/2-1)}{4}\sum_{j<l}^{N}\frac{1}{\sin^2[(\theta_j-\theta_l)/2]}. \tag{1.5}$$

It is known as the Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian on the unit circle. This Hamiltonian has a complete set of orthogonal eigenfunctions

$$\psi_\kappa(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N) = \mathrm{e}^{-\beta W/2}P_\kappa^{(2/\beta)}(z_1, \cdots, z_N), \quad z_j = \mathrm{e}^{i\theta_j} \tag{1.6}$$

with eigenvalues $E_\kappa$[4, 5, 6]. Here $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \cdots, \kappa_N)$ represents a partition with non-negative integers $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2 \geq \cdots \geq \kappa_N$ and $P_\kappa^{(2/\beta)}(z_1, \cdots, z_N)$ is a particular polynomial known as the Jack polynomial.

For the imaginary time Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{1}{\beta}(H - E_0)\psi, \tag{1.7}$$

the Green function solution can be written as

$$G^{(H)}(\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N; \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N; \tau) = \sum_\kappa \frac{\bar{\psi}_\kappa(\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N)\psi_\kappa(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N)}{\langle\psi_\kappa|\psi_\kappa\rangle}\mathrm{e}^{-(E_\kappa - E_0)\tau/\beta}, \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$\langle\psi_\kappa|\psi_\kappa\rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_1 \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_N |\psi_\kappa(\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N)|^2 \tag{1.9}$$

and $\bar{\psi}_\kappa$ is the complex conjugate of $\psi_\kappa$. According to (1.4), the Green function solution $G(\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N; \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N; \tau)$ of the Fokker-Planck equation (1.1) is given by

$$G(\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N; \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N; \tau) = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\beta W(\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_N)/2}}{\mathrm{e}^{-\beta W(\varphi_1,\cdots,\varphi_N)/2}} G^{(H)}(\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N; \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N; \tau). \tag{1.10}$$

In this paper we focus on the case $\beta = 2$ , in which the interaction term in (1.5) vanishes, and calculate the dynamical correlation functions for typical initial conditions. Then $G^{(H)}$ is a determinant of one particle Green functions and (1.10) reads

$$G(\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N; \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N; \tau) \propto \prod_{j>l}^{N} \frac{\sin \dfrac{\theta_j - \theta_l}{2}}{\sin \dfrac{\varphi_j - \varphi_l}{2}} \det[g(\theta_j, \varphi_l; \tau)]_{j,l=1,2,\cdots,N}, \tag{1.11}$$

where $(z = \mathrm{e}^{i\theta},\ w = \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi})$

$$g(\theta, \varphi; \tau) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \left(\dfrac{z}{w}\right)^{1/2} \displaystyle\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\dfrac{w}{z}\right)^n \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_n \tau}, & N \text{ even}, \\ \dfrac{1}{2\pi} \displaystyle\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\dfrac{w}{z}\right)^n \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_n \tau}, & N \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

and

$$\gamma_n = \begin{cases} (n - (1/2))^2/2, & N \text{ even}, \\ n^2/2, & N \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \tag{1.13}$$

We remark that the following discussion does not depend on the particular form (1.13) of $\gamma_n$, provided they are positive.

Let us suppose that the initial distribution of the eigenvalues is one of the followings (note that $U(\varphi)$ can be a function with a complex value):

$$p_0(\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N) \propto \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1}^{N} U(\varphi_j) \prod_{j<l}^{N} \mid \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_j} - \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_l} \mid, \\[2ex] \sum_P \prod_{j=1}^{N/2} U(\varphi_j)^2 \delta(\varphi_j - \varphi_{j+N/2}) \\ \times \prod_{j<l}^{N/2} \mid \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_j} - \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_l} \mid^4, \quad (\text{assuming } N \text{ even}), \\[2ex] \sum_P \prod_{j=1}^{[N/2]} U(\varphi_j)^2 \delta(\varphi_j + \varphi_{j+[(N+1)/2]}) \\ \times \prod_{j<l}^{[N/2]} \mid \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_j} - \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_l} \mid^2 \mid \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_j} - \mathrm{e}^{-i\varphi_l} \mid^2 \\ \times \begin{cases} 1, & N \text{ even}, \\ \delta(\varphi_{[(N+1)/2]}) \prod_{j=1}^{[N/2]} \mid 1 - \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_j} \mid^2, & N \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \end{cases} \tag{1.14}$$

Here we have introduced a sum $\sum_P$ over the permutation of $\varphi_j$'s in order to make the initial distribution totally symmetric. $[x]$ is the largest integer not exceeding $x$. The first and second of these initial conditions can be derived as eigenvalue distributions of symmetric unitary and self-dual quaternion unitary random matrices, respectively. The third one, for which the weight function $U(\varphi)$ is assumed to be symmetrical about the origin, is an analogue of the eigenvalue distribution of antisymmetric hermitian random matrices.

The probability distribution function $p$ of the eigenvalues is calculated from the initial condition and the Green functions as

$$\begin{aligned} & p(\theta_1^1,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_1;\theta_1^2,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_2;\cdots;\theta_1^M,\cdots,\theta_N^M;\tau_M) \\ = \; & \frac{1}{N!}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\mathrm{d}\theta_1^0\cdots\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\mathrm{d}\theta_N^0 p_0(\theta_1^0,\cdots,\theta_N^0)\prod_{l=1}^{M}G(\theta_1^{l-1},\cdots,\theta_N^{l-1};\theta_1^l,\cdots,\theta_N^l;\tau_l-\tau_{l-1}), \\ & \tau_0=0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

For $N$ even, performing the integration gives

$$\begin{aligned} & p(\theta_1^1,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_1;\theta_1^2,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_2;\cdots;\theta_1^M,\cdots,\theta_N^M;\tau_M) \\ = \; & i^{-N(N-1)/2}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\mathrm{e}^{-i(N-1)\theta_j^M/2}\prod_{j>l}^{N}(\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_j^M}-\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_l^M}) \\ \times \; & \mathrm{Pf}[F_{jl}^{11}]_{j,l=1,2,\cdots,N}\prod_{k=1}^{M-1}\det[g_{jl}^{k+1\ k}]_{j,l=1,2,\cdots,N}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

Here Pf means a Pfaffian. The matrices $g^{mn}$ and $F^{mn}$ are defined as

$$g_{jl}^{mn}=g(\theta_j^m,\theta_l^n;\tau_m-\tau_n) \tag{1.17}$$

and

$$F_{jl}^{mn}=F(\theta_j^m,\theta_l^n;\tau_m,\tau_n), \tag{1.18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') \\ = \; & \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\mathrm{d}\varphi'\int_{-\pi}^{\varphi'}\mathrm{d}\varphi U(\varphi)U(\varphi')\{g(\theta,\varphi;\tau)g(\theta',\varphi';\tau')-g(\theta',\varphi;\tau')g(\theta,\varphi';\tau)\}, \\ & F(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') \\ = \; & \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\mathrm{d}\varphi U(\varphi)^2\{g(\theta,\varphi;\tau)\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}g(\theta',\varphi;\tau')-g(\theta',\varphi;\tau')\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}g(\theta,\varphi;\tau)\}, \\ & F(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') \\ = \; & \int_{0}^{\pi}\mathrm{d}\varphi U(\varphi)^2\frac{1}{2\sin\varphi}\{g(\theta,-\varphi;\tau)g(\theta',\varphi;\tau')-g(\theta',-\varphi;\tau')g(\theta,\varphi;\tau)\} \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

for each of the three initial conditions (1.14), respectively. For $N$ odd, performing the integration similarly yields

$$\begin{aligned} & p(\theta_1^1,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_1;\theta_1^2,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_2;\cdots;\theta_1^M,\cdots,\theta_N^M;\tau_M) \\ = \; & i^{-N(N-1)/2}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\mathrm{e}^{-i(N-1)\theta_j^M/2}\prod_{j>l}^{N}(\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_j^M}-\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_l^M}) \\ \times \; & \mathrm{Pf}\begin{bmatrix} [F_{jl}^{11}]_{j,l=1,2,\cdots,N} & [f_j^1]_{j=1,\cdots,N} \\ -[f_l^1]_{l=1,\cdots,N} & 0 \end{bmatrix}\prod_{k=1}^{M-1}\det[g_{jl}^{k+1\ k}]_{j,l=1,2,\cdots,N}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

Here

$$f_j^m = f(\theta_j^m; \tau_m) \tag{1.21}$$

with

$$f(\theta;\tau) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} U(\varphi) g(\theta,\varphi;\tau)\mathrm{d}\varphi, \quad f(\theta;\tau) = U(0) g(\theta,0;\tau), \tag{1.22}$$

for the first and last of the initial conditions (1.14), respectively.

Now we are in a position to define multilevel dynamical correlation functions

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\theta_1^1,\cdots,\theta_{m_1}^1;\tau_1;\theta_1^2,\cdots,\theta_{m_2}^2;\tau_2;\cdots;\theta_1^M,\cdots,\theta_{m_M}^M;\tau_M) \\ = \ & \frac{1}{C_N}\frac{(N!)^M}{\prod_{l=1}^M (N-m_l)!} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_{m_1+1}^1 \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_N^1 \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_{m_M+1}^M \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_N^M \\ \times \ & p(\theta_1^1,\cdots,\theta_N^1;\tau_1;\theta_1^2,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_2;\cdots;\theta_1^M,\cdots,\theta_N^M;\tau_M), \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

where the normalization constant $C_N$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} C_N \ = \ & \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_1^1 \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_N^1 \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_1^M \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_N^M \\ \times \ & p(\theta_1^1,\cdots,\theta_N^1;\tau_1;\theta_1^2,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_2;\cdots;\theta_1^M,\cdots,\theta_N^M;\tau_M). \end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

Let us review the history of the study on multilevel correlation functions of random matrices and explain the purpose of this paper. The quaternion determinant formulas for the static correlations ($M = 1, \tau_1 = 0$) with $U(\theta) = 1$ was discovered by Dyson[7]. His result was generalized to the case with a real weight function $U(\theta)$ by Nagao and Wadati[8]. The dynamical correlations were first explored for hermitian random matrix models by Pandey and Mehta [9, 10] and then extended to the unitary matrix case with $U(\theta) = 1$ by Pandey and Shukla[11]. They were able to derive quaternion determinant forms for equal time ($M = 1, 0 \le \tau_1 < \infty$) correlation functions. Recently Nagao and Forrester succeeded in evaluating the dynamical correlation functions with general $M$ for hermitian random matrices[12, 13]. In this paper we deal with unitary random matrices and generalize both of Nagao and Wadati's and Pandey and Shukla's results to show how the multilevel dynamical correlation functions with general $M$ can be written in quaternion determinant forms.

# 2 Dynamical Correlation Functions

## 2.1 Quaternion Determinant

Let us begin with an introduction of a quaternion determinant, a determinant of a matrix with quaternion elements[7, 14, 15, 16, 17]. We define a quaternion as a linear combination of four basic units $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$:

$$q = q_0 + \mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{e} = q_0 + q_1 e_1 + q_2 e_2 + q_3 e_3. \tag{2.1}$$

Here $q_0, q_1, q_2$ and $q_3$ are real or complex numbers. The first part $q_1$ is called the scalar part of $q$. The quaternion multiplication is associative but in general not commutative: the multiplication rule of the four basic units are

$$1\cdot 1 = 1, \ \ 1\cdot e_j = e_j \cdot 1 = e_j, \ \ j = 1,2,3, \tag{2.2}$$

$$e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_3^2 = e_1 e_2 e_3 = -1. \tag{2.3}$$

A quaternion $q$ has a dual $\hat{q}$ defined as

$$\hat{q} = q_0 - \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{e}. \tag{2.4}$$

A matrix $Q$ with quaternion elements $q_{jl}$ also has a dual matrix $\hat{Q} = [\hat{q}_{lj}]$. The quaternion units can be represented as $2 \times 2$ matrices

$$1 \to \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \quad e_1 \to \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right],$$

$$e_2 \to \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad e_3 \to \left[ \begin{array}{cc} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{array} \right]. \tag{2.5}$$

Now we are in a position to define a quaternion determinant Tdet. For a self-dual $Q$ ( i.e., $Q = \hat{Q}$ ), it is written as

$$\mathrm{Tdet}\, Q = \sum_P (-1)^{N-l} \prod_1^l (q_{ab} q_{bc} \cdots q_{da})_0. \tag{2.6}$$

Here $P$ denotes any permutation of the indices $(1, 2, \cdots, N)$ consisting of $l$ exclusive cycles of the form $(a \to b \to c \to \cdots \to d \to a)$. Note that $(-1)^{N-l}$ is the parity of $P$. The subscript 0 has a meaning that we take the scalar part of the product over each cycle. If all the elements of $Q$ are scalars, then everything is commutable and a quaternion determinant becomes an ordinary determinant.

## 2.2 The Case $N$ Even

Throughout this paper we adopt a notation that $\overline{z}$ is the complex conjugate of $z$ (note that $z^*$ is not necessarily the complex conjugate of $z$). Let us define ($z = \mathrm{e}^{i\theta}$, $w = \mathrm{e}^{i\varphi}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n^*(\theta; \tau) &= \overline{\sqrt{z}} R_n^*(\theta; \tau), \\ \Psi_n(\theta; \tau) &= \sqrt{z} R_n(\theta; \tau), \\ \Phi_n^*(\theta; \tau) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\varphi, \theta; \tau, \tau) \overline{\sqrt{w}} R_n^*(\varphi; \tau) \mathrm{d}\varphi, \\ \Phi_n(\theta; \tau) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\varphi, \theta; \tau, \tau) \sqrt{w} R_n(\varphi; \tau) \mathrm{d}\varphi, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where $R_n^*(\theta; \tau) = z^{-n} + c_{n\ n-1}^* z^{-n+1} + \cdots + c_{n\ -n}^* z^n$ and $R_n(\theta; \tau) = z^n + c_{n\ n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + c_{n\ -n} z^{-n}$ are arbitrary polynomials ($R_0^*(\theta; \tau) = R_0(\theta; \tau) = 1$).

We introduce matrices $D^{mn}$, $I^{mn}$ and $S^{mn}$ as

$$D_{jl}^{mn} = D(\theta_j^m, \theta_l^n; \tau_m, \tau_n), \quad I_{jl}^{mn} = I(\theta_j^m, \theta_l^n; \tau_m, \tau_n), \quad S_{jl}^{mn} = S(\theta_j^m, \theta_l^n; \tau_m, \tau_n) \tag{2.8}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & D(\theta, \theta'; \tau, \tau') \\ = & \sum_{k=0}^{(N/2)-1} \frac{1}{r_k(\tau')} [\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-k}(\tau' - \tau)} \Psi_k^*(\theta; \tau) \Psi_k(\theta'; \tau') - \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{k+1}(\tau' - \tau)} \Psi_k(\theta; \tau) \Psi_k^*(\theta'; \tau')], \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') \\
= & -\sum_{k=0}^{(N/2)-1}\frac{1}{r_k(\tau')}[\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-k}(\tau'-\tau)}\Phi_k^*(\theta;\tau)\Phi_k(\theta';\tau')-\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{k+1}(\tau'-\tau)}\Phi_k(\theta;\tau)\Phi_k^*(\theta';\tau')] \\
+ & F(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') \qquad (2.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{(N/2)-1}\frac{1}{r_k(\tau')}[\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-k}(\tau'-\tau)}\Phi_k^*(\theta;\tau)\Psi_k(\theta';\tau')-\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{k+1}(\tau'-\tau)}\Phi_k(\theta;\tau)\Psi_k^*(\theta';\tau')].
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

Moreover we define

$$
\tilde{S}_{jl}^{mn}=\begin{cases} S_{jl}^{mn}-g_{jl}^{mn}, & m>n, \\ S_{jl}^{mn}, & m\le n. \end{cases} \tag{2.12}
$$

Then we have the following theorem.

*Theorem 1*

The probability distribution function (1.16) with $N$ even can be written as a quaternion determinant

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(\theta_1^1,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_1;\theta_1^2,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_2;\cdots;\theta_1^M,\cdots,\theta_N^M;\tau_M) \\
= & i^{-N(N-1)/2}\prod_{j=0}^{(N/2)-1} r_j(\tau_M)\mathrm{Tdet}[B^{\mu\nu}], \\
& \mu,\nu=1,2,\cdots,M.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.13}
$$

Each block $B^{\mu\nu}$ is an $N\times N$ quaternion matrix. Its quaternion elements are represented as

$$
B_{jl}^{\mu\nu}=\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{S}_{jl}^{\mu\nu} & I_{jl}^{\mu\nu} \\ D_{jl}^{\mu\nu} & \tilde{S}_{lj}^{\nu\mu} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.14}
$$

Starting from (1.16), we can follow a similar argument as in Refs.[12, 13] to prove *Theorem 1*.

By Schmidt's orthogonalization procedure, we can specify the polynomials $R_n(\theta;\tau)$ and $R_n^*(\theta,\tau)$ so that they satisfy the following skew orthogonality relation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle\overline{\sqrt{z}}R_m^*(\theta;\tau),\sqrt{w}R_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle=-\langle\sqrt{z}R_n(\theta;\tau),\overline{\sqrt{w}}R_m^*(\varphi;\tau)\rangle=r_m(\tau)\delta_{mn}, \\
\langle\overline{\sqrt{z}}R_m^*(\theta;\tau),\overline{\sqrt{w}}R_n^*(\varphi;\tau)\rangle=0, \quad \langle\sqrt{z}R_m(\theta;\tau),\sqrt{w}R_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle=0,
\end{gathered}
\tag{2.15}
$$

where

$$
\langle f(\theta),g(\varphi)\rangle=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\mathrm{d}\theta\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\mathrm{d}\varphi F(\theta,\varphi;\tau,\tau)f(\theta)g(\varphi). \tag{2.16}
$$

Explicit determinant formula for the skew orthogonal polynomials $R_n(\theta;\tau)$ and $R^*(\theta,\tau)$ are given by $(n \geq 1)$[8]

$$R_n(z) = \mathcal{D}_n^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} z^n & J^{n\ n-1} & \dots & J^{n\ 0} & J^{n\ -1} & \dots & J^{n\ -n} \\ z^{n-1} & J^{n-1\ n-1} & \dots & J^{n-1\ 0} & J^{n-1\ -1} & \dots & J^{n-1\ -n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z^0 & J^{0\ n-1} & \dots & J^{0\ 0} & J^{0\ -1} & \dots & J^{0\ -n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z^{-n} & J^{-n\ n-1} & \dots & J^{-n\ 0} & J^{-n\ -1} & \dots & J^{-n\ -n} \end{vmatrix} \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$R_n^*(z) = \mathcal{D}_n^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} z^{-n} & J^{-n-1\ -n} & \dots & J^{-n-1\ 0} & J^{-n-1\ 1} & \dots & J^{-n-1\ n-1} \\ z^{-n+1} & J^{-n\ -n} & \dots & J^{-n\ 0} & J^{-n\ 1} & \dots & J^{-n\ n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z^0 & J^{-1\ -n} & \dots & J^{-1\ 0} & J^{-1\ 1} & \dots & J^{-1\ n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z^{n} & J^{n-1\ -n} & \dots & J^{n-1\ 0} & J^{n-1\ 1} & \dots & J^{n-1\ n-1} \end{vmatrix}, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$J^{mn} = \langle z^{m+(1/2)}, w^{n+(1/2)} \rangle \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \begin{vmatrix} J^{n-1\ n-1} & \dots & J^{n-1\ 0} & J^{n-1\ -1} & \dots & J^{n-1\ -n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J^{0\ n-1} & \dots & J^{0\ 0} & J^{0\ -1} & \dots & J^{0\ -n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J^{-n\ n-1} & \dots & J^{-n\ 0} & J^{-n\ -1} & \dots & J^{-n\ -n} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{2.20}$$

## 2.3 The Case $N$ Odd

Let us next consider the case with $N$ odd. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n^*(\theta;\tau) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\varphi,\theta;\tau,\tau) R_n^*(\varphi;\tau) \mathrm{d}\varphi, \\ \Phi_n(\theta;\tau) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\varphi,\theta;\tau,\tau) R_n(\varphi;\tau) \mathrm{d}\varphi. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Here $R_n(\theta;\tau)$ and $R_n^*(\theta;\tau)$ are arbitrary functions provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j>l}^{N} (\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_j} - \mathrm{e}^{i\theta_l}) &= \prod_{j=1}^{N} \mathrm{e}^{i(N-1)\theta_j/2} \\ &\times \begin{vmatrix} R^*_{(N-1)/2}(\theta_1;\tau) & R^*_{(N-1)/2}(\theta_2;\tau) & \cdots & R^*_{(N-1)/2}(\theta_N;\tau) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_1^*(\theta_1;\tau) & R_1^*(\theta_2;\tau) & \cdots & R_1^*(\theta_N;\tau) \\ R_0(\theta_1;\tau) & R_0(\theta_2;\tau) & \cdots & R_0(\theta_N;\tau) \\ R_1(\theta_1;\tau) & R_1(\theta_2;\tau) & \cdots & R_1(\theta_N;\tau) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ R_{(N-1)/2}(\theta_1;\tau) & R_{(N-1)/2}(\theta_2;\tau) & \cdots & R_{(N-1)/2}(\theta_N;\tau) \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Then matrices $D^{mn}$, $I^{mn}$ and $S^{mn}$ are introduced as

$$D_{jl}^{mn}=D(\theta_j^m,\theta_l^n;\tau_m,\tau_n),\quad I_{jl}^{mn}=I(\theta_j^m,\theta_l^n;\tau_m,\tau_n),\quad S_{jl}^{mn}=S(\theta_j^m,\theta_l^n;\tau_m,\tau_n),\tag{2.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&D(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau')\\=&\sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2}\frac{1}{r_k(\tau')}[\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-k}(\tau'-\tau)}R_k^*(\theta;\tau)R_k(\theta';\tau')-\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_k(\tau'-\tau)}R_k(\theta;\tau)R_k^*(\theta';\tau')],\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&I(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau')\\=&-\sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2}\frac{1}{r_k(\tau')}[\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-k}(\tau'-\tau)}\Phi_k^*(\theta;\tau)\Phi_k(\theta';\tau')-\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_k(\tau'-\tau)}\Phi_k(\theta;\tau)\Phi_k^*(\theta';\tau')]\\+&\frac{1}{s_0(\tau)}\Phi_0(\theta;\tau)f(\theta';\tau')-\frac{1}{s_0(\tau')}\Phi_0(\theta';\tau')f(\theta;\tau)+F(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau')\end{aligned}\tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&S(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau')\\=&\sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2}\frac{1}{r_k(\tau')}[\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-k}(\tau'-\tau)}\Phi_k^*(\theta;\tau)R_k(\theta';\tau')-\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_k(\tau'-\tau)}\Phi_k(\theta;\tau)R_k^*(\theta';\tau')]\\+&\frac{1}{s_0(\tau')}R_0(\theta',\tau')f(\theta;\tau).\end{aligned}\tag{2.26}$$

As before we set

$$\tilde{S}_{jl}^{mn}=\begin{cases}S_{jl}^{mn}-g_{jl}^{mn}, & m>n,\\ S_{jl}^{mn}, & m\le n\end{cases}\tag{2.27}$$

and find the following theorem.

*Theorem 2*

The probability distribution function (1.20) with $N$ odd can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&p(\theta_1^1,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_1;\theta_1^2,\cdots,\theta_N^2;\tau_2;\cdots;\theta_1^M,\cdots,\theta_N^M;\tau_M)\\=&\ i^{-N(N-1)/2}s_0(\tau_M)\prod_{j=1}^{(N-1)/2}r_j(\tau_M)\mathrm{Tdet}[B^{\mu\nu}],\\&\mu,\nu=1,2,\cdots,M.\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

Each block $B^{\mu\nu}$ is an $N\times N$ quaternion matrix the elements of which are represented as

$$B_{jl}^{\mu\nu}=\begin{bmatrix}\tilde{S}_{jl}^{\mu\nu} & I_{jl}^{\mu\nu}\\ D_{jl}^{\mu\nu} & \tilde{S}_{lj}^{\nu\mu}\end{bmatrix}.\tag{2.29}$$

*Theorem 2* can be proven from (1.20) by proceeding as in Refs.[12, 13].

We now introduce a set of polynomials $\Omega_n(\theta;\tau)$, $\Omega^*_n(\theta;\tau)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $\Omega_0(\theta;\tau) = \Omega^*_0(\theta;\tau)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega^*_m(\theta;\tau), \Omega_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle = -\langle \Omega_n(\theta;\tau), \Omega^*_m(\varphi;\tau)\rangle = r_m(\tau)\delta_{mn}, \\ \langle \Omega^*_m(\theta;\tau), \Omega^*_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Omega_m(\theta;\tau), \Omega_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

for $m, n \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Omega^*_n(\theta;\tau), \Omega_0(\varphi;\tau)\rangle = -\langle \Omega_0(\theta;\tau), \Omega^*_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle = 0, \\ \langle \Omega^*_0(\theta;\tau), \Omega_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle = -\langle \Omega_n(\theta;\tau), \Omega^*_0(\varphi;\tau)\rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

for $0 \leq n \leq (N-1)/2$. Here the bracket is defined in (2.16).

Let us define

$$K^{mn} = \begin{cases} \langle z^m, \Omega_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle, & n > 0, \\ \langle z^m, \Omega^*_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle, & n < 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.32}$$

and

$$L^{mn} = \begin{cases} \langle \Omega_m(\theta;\tau), \Omega_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle, & m, n > 0, \\ \langle \Omega_m(\theta;\tau), \Omega^*_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle, & m > 0, n < 0, \\ \langle \Omega^*_m(\theta;\tau), \Omega_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle, & m < 0, n > 0, \\ \langle \Omega^*_m(\theta;\tau), \Omega^*_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle, & m, n < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.33}$$

Then, starting from ($z = \mathrm{e}^{i\theta}$)

$$\Omega_1(\theta;\tau) = a_1 + z, \quad \Omega^*_1(\theta;\tau) = a^*_1 + \frac{1}{z}, \tag{2.34}$$

we can recursively construct the polynomials as ($n \geq 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_n(\theta;\tau) &= a_n \Omega_0^{(n-1)}(\theta;\tau) \\ &+ \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{n-1} \begin{vmatrix} z^n & K^{n\ n-1} & \cdots & K^{n\ 1} & K^{n\ -1} & \cdots & K^{n\ -n+1} \\ \Omega_{n-1}(\theta;\tau) & L^{n-1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{n-1\ 1} & L^{n-1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{n-1\ -n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Omega_1(\theta;\tau) & L^{1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{1\ 1} & L^{1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{1\ -n+1} \\ \Omega^*_1(\theta;\tau) & L^{-1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{-1\ 1} & L^{-1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{-1\ -n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Omega^*_{n-1}(\theta;\tau) & L^{-n+1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{-n+1\ 1} & L^{-n+1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{-n+1\ -n+1} \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^*_n(\theta;\tau) &= a^*_n \Omega_0^{(n-1)}(\theta;\tau) \\ &+ \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{n-1} \begin{vmatrix} z^{-n} & K^{-n\ -n+1} & \cdots & K^{-n\ -1} & K^{-n\ 1} & \cdots & K^{-n\ n-1} \\ \Omega^*_{n-1}(\theta;\tau) & L^{-n+1\ -n+1} & \cdots & L^{-n+1\ -1} & L^{-n+1\ 1} & \cdots & L^{-n+1\ n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Omega^*_1(\theta;\tau) & L^{-1\ -n+1} & \cdots & L^{-1\ -1} & L^{-1\ 1} & \cdots & L^{-1\ n-1} \\ \Omega_1(\theta;\tau) & L^{1\ -n+1} & \cdots & L^{1\ -1} & L^{1\ 1} & \cdots & L^{1\ n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Omega_{n-1}(\theta;\tau) & L^{n-1\ -n+1} & \cdots & L^{n-1\ -1} & L^{n-1\ 1} & \cdots & L^{n-1\ n-1} \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega_0^{(n-1)}(\theta;\tau)\\ = \ & \mathcal{D}_{n-1}^{-1}\begin{vmatrix} 1 & K^{0\ n-1} & \cdots & K^{0\ 1} & K^{0\ -1} & \cdots & K^{0\ -n+1} \\ \Omega_{n-1}(\theta;\tau) & L^{n-1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{n-1\ 1} & L^{n-1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{n-1\ -n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Omega_1(\theta;\tau) & L^{1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{1\ 1} & L^{1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{1\ -n+1} \\ \Omega_1^*(\theta;\tau) & L^{-1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{-1\ 1} & L^{-1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{-1\ -n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Omega_{n-1}^*(\theta;\tau) & L^{-n+1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{-n+1\ 1} & L^{-n+1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{-n+1\ -n+1} \end{vmatrix}\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{n-1} = \begin{vmatrix} L^{n-1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{n-1\ 1} & L^{n-1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{n-1\ -n+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ L^{1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{1\ 1} & L^{1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{1\ -n+1} \\ L^{-1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{-1\ 1} & L^{-1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{-1\ -n+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ L^{-n+1\ n-1} & \cdots & L^{-n+1\ 1} & L^{-n+1\ -1} & \cdots & L^{-n+1\ -n+1} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{2.38}$$

Setting

$$\Omega_0(\theta;\tau) = \Omega_0^{((N-1)/2)}(\theta;\tau), \tag{2.39}$$

we find that all of the skew orthogonality conditions (2.30) and (2.31) are satisfied. Note that there is an ambiguity in the determination of the skew orthogonal polynomials due to the constants $a_n$ and $a_n^*$.

Let us now introduce ($n \geq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} s_n^*(\tau) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta f(\theta;\tau)\Omega_n^*(\theta;\tau), \\ s_n(\tau) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta f(\theta;\tau)\Omega_n(\theta;\tau). \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

Then $R_n(\theta;\tau)$ and $R^*(\theta;\tau)$ satisfying (2.22) can be constructed as ($n = 1, 2, \cdots, (N-1)/2$)

$$\begin{aligned} R_n^*(\theta;\tau) &= \Omega_n^*(\theta;\tau) - \frac{s_n^*(\tau)}{s_0(\tau)}\Omega_0(\theta;\tau), \\ R_n(\theta;\tau) &= \Omega_n(\theta;\tau) - \frac{s_n(\tau)}{s_0(\tau)}\Omega_0(\theta;\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$R_0^*(\theta;\tau) = R_0(\theta;\tau) = \Omega_0(\theta;\tau). \tag{2.42}$$

## 2.4 Quaternion Determinant Expressions

Using the orthogonality relations introduced above, it can be readily proven in both the cases $N$ even and odd that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} B_{ji}^{mp} B_{il}^{pn} \mathrm{d}\theta_i^p$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & m < p,\ p > n, \\ B_{jl}^{mn} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & m < p,\ p = n, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} B_{jl}^{mn}, & m = p,\ p > n, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} B_{jl}^{mn} + B_{jl}^{mn} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & m = p,\ p = n, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} B_{jl}^{mn} + B_{jl}^{mn} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} B_{jl}^{mn} - B_{jl}^{mn} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & m > p,\ p < n, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} B_{jl}^{mn} + B_{jl}^{mn} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} B_{jl}^{mn}, & m > p,\ p = n, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} B_{jl}^{mn} + B_{jl}^{mn} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - B_{jl}^{mn} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & m = p,\ p < n, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} B_{jl}^{mn} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} B_{jl}^{mn}, & m > p,\ p > n, \\ B_{jl}^{mn} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - B_{jl}^{mn} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & m < p,\ p < n. \end{cases} \tag{2.43}$$

Moreover we can easily find

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} B_{jj}^{mm} \mathrm{d}\theta_j^m = N. \tag{2.44}$$

We then arrive at the following theorem which has the central importance in the evaluation of the dynamical correlations.

*Theorem 3*

In terms of the quaternion elements $B_{jl}^{mn}$, we define quaternion rectangular matrices

$$Q_{JL}^{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11}^{mn} & \cdots & B_{1L}^{mn} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{J1}^{mn} & \cdots & B_{JL}^{mn} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.45}$$

Let us further define a self-dual quaternion matrix $Q$ consisting of $Q_{JL}^{mn}$ as

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n_1\ n_1}^{11} & \cdots & Q_{n_1\ n_K}^{1K} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_{n_K\ n_1}^{K1} & \cdots & Q_{n_K\ n_K}^{KK} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.46}$$

We denote the $j$-th row (column) of the $m$-th row (column) block of the quaternion matrix $Q$ as $(m, j)$ row (column). The $(m, j)$ row and $(m, j)$ column contain the variable $\theta_j^m$. If the $(m, j)$ row and $(m, j)$ column are removed, the resulting smaller matrix $Q_j^m$ is still self-dual and does not contain the variable $\theta_j^m$.

Using the above definitions, we have

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta_{n_\mu}^{\mu} \mathrm{Tdet} Q = (N - n_\mu + 1) \mathrm{Tdet} Q_{n_\mu}^{\mu}. \tag{2.47}$$

The proof of *Theorem 3* is found by following the strategy given in Ref.[12].

Successive application of *Theorem 3* leads to the quaternion determinant expression for the multilevel dynamical correlation function as

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho(\theta_1^1,\cdots,\theta_{m_1}^1;\tau_1;\theta_1^2,\cdots,\theta_{m_2}^2;\tau_2;\cdots;\theta_1^M,\cdots,\theta_{m_M}^M;\tau_M)=\mathrm{Tdet}[B^{\mu\nu}(m_\mu,m_\nu)],\\&\mu,\nu=1,2,\cdots,M,\end{aligned}\tag{2.48}$$

where each block $B^{\mu\nu}(m_\mu,m_\nu)$ is obtained by removing the $m_\mu+1,m_\mu+2,\cdots,N$-th rows and $m_\nu+1,m_\nu+2,\cdots,N$-th columns from $B^{\mu\nu}$.

# 3 Dynamical Correlation within the Unitary Symmetry

In this section we consider the limit $\tau_j\to\infty,\ j=1,2,\cdots,M$ with all $\tau_j-\tau_l,\ j,l=1,2,\cdots,M$ fixed. In this limit it is expected that the dynamical correlations describe the Brownian dynamics within the unitary symmetry. We can conveniently take this limit by using the following summation formulas.

## 3.1 The Case $N$ Even

Let us express the skew orthogonal polynomials with $\tau=0$ as ($z=\mathrm{e}^{i\theta}$)

$$\begin{aligned}R_n(\theta;0)&=\sum_{j=-n}^{n}\alpha_{nj}z^j,\quad \alpha_{nn}=1,\\R_n^*(\theta;0)&=\sum_{j=-n}^{n}\alpha_{nj}^*z^{-j},\quad \alpha_{nn}^*=1.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Then it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned}R_n(\theta;\tau)&=\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{n+1}\tau}\sum_{j=-n}^{n}\alpha_{nj}z^j\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{j+1}\tau},\\R_n^*(\theta;\tau)&=\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-n}\tau}\sum_{j=-n}^{n}\alpha_{nj}^*z^{-j}\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-j}\tau},\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

with

$$r_n(\tau)=\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-n}\tau}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{n+1}\tau}r_n(0),\tag{3.3}$$

the proof of which comes from an identity

$$\langle\sqrt{z}R_m^*(\theta;\tau),\sqrt{w}R_n(\varphi;\tau)\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-m}\tau}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{n+1}\tau}\ \langle\sqrt{z}R_m^*(\theta;0),\sqrt{w}R_n(\varphi;0)\rangle\Big|_{\tau=0}.\tag{3.4}$$

Let us introduce an inverse expansion of (3.2) ($n\geq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}z^n\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{n+1}\tau}&=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\lambda_{nj}\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{j+1}\tau}R_j(\theta;\tau)+\frac{1}{z}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\mu_{nj}\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-j}\tau}R_j^*(\theta;\tau),\\z^{-n}\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-n}\tau}&=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\lambda_{nj}^*\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-j}\tau}R_j^*(\theta;\tau)+z\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\mu_{nj}^*\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{j+1}\tau}R_j(\theta;\tau).\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

Using (3.9) and (3.5), we can readily derive ($\lambda_{jj} = 1,\ n \geq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^*_n(\theta;\tau) &= \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-n}\tau}}{2\pi} r_n(0) \left[ \sum_{\nu\geq n}^{\infty} z^{-\nu-(1/2)} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{\nu+1}\tau} \lambda_{\nu n} + \sum_{\nu\geq n+1}^{\infty} z^{\nu+(1/2)} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-\nu}\tau} \mu^*_{\nu n} \right], \\
\Phi_n(\theta;\tau) &= -\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{n+1}\tau}}{2\pi} r_n(0) \left[ \sum_{\nu\geq n+1}^{\infty} z^{-\nu-(1/2)} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{\nu+1}\tau} \mu_{\nu n} + \sum_{\nu\geq n}^{\infty} z^{\nu+(1/2)} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-\nu}\tau} \lambda^*_{\nu n} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Putting (3.5) into (1.19) and comparing the result with (3.6) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
& F(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') \\
=\ & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_k(\tau')} [\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-k}(\tau'-\tau)} \Phi^*_k(\theta;\tau)\Phi_k(\theta';\tau') - \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{k+1}(\tau'-\tau)} \Phi_k(\theta;\tau)\Phi^*_k(\theta';\tau')],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
& I(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') \\
=\ & \sum_{k=N/2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_k(\tau')} [\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-k}(\tau'-\tau)} \Phi^*_k(\theta;\tau)\Phi_k(\theta';\tau') - \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{k+1}(\tau'-\tau)} \Phi_k(\theta;\tau)\Phi^*_k(\theta';\tau')].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Substitution of (3.6) into (2.11) results in

$$S(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') = S_1(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') + S_2(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau'), \tag{3.9}$$

where ($z = \mathrm{e}^{i\theta},\ z' = \mathrm{e}^{i\theta'}$)

$$S_1(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{z}{z'}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{n=-(N/2)+1}^{N/2} \left(\frac{z'}{z}\right)^n \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_n(\tau-\tau')} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{(N/2)-1} \sum_{\nu=N/2}^{\infty} \\
\times & \Big[ \Big( z^{-\nu-(1/2)} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{\nu+1}\tau} \lambda_{\nu k} + z^{\nu+(1/2)} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-\nu}\tau} \mu^*_{\nu k} \Big) \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{k+1}\tau'} \sqrt{z'} R_k(\theta';\tau') \Big) \\
+ & \Big( z^{-\nu-(1/2)} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{\nu+1}\tau} \mu_{\nu k} + z^{\nu+(1/2)} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-\nu}\tau} \lambda^*_{\nu k} \Big) \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-k}\tau'} \overline{\sqrt{z'}} R^*_k(\theta';\tau') \Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Let us assume that $\gamma_n = \gamma_{-n+1}$ and $\gamma_{n+1} > \gamma_n$ for $n \geq 1$. This assumption is consistent with (1.13). In the asymptotic limit $\tau_j \to \infty,\quad j = 1, 2, \cdots M$ with all $\tau_j - \tau_l,\quad j, l = 1, 2, \cdots, M$ fixed, it can be seen from (3.9) that

$$S(x,y;\tau,\tau') \sim S_1(x,y;\tau,\tau') + O(\mathrm{e}^{-(\gamma_{(N/2)+1}-\gamma_{N/2})\tau}). \tag{3.12}$$

We can further derive the asymptotic relations $R_n(x;\tau) \sim O(1)$, $R^*_n(x;\tau) \sim O(1)$, $\Phi_n(x;\tau) \sim O(\mathrm{e}^{-2\gamma_{n+1}\tau})$ and $\Phi^*_n(x;\tau) \sim O(\mathrm{e}^{-2\gamma_{n+1}\tau})$ from (3.2) and (3.6) so that

$$I(x,y;\tau,\tau') \sim O(\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{(N/2)+1}(\tau+\tau')}), \quad D(x,y;\tau,\tau') \sim O(\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{N/2}(\tau+\tau')}). \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore in the asymptotic limit we obtain a quaternion determinant in which $\tilde{S}^{mn}_{jl}$ are replaced by

$$\sigma^{mn}_{jl} = \begin{cases} S_1(\theta^m_j, \theta^n_l; \tau_m, \tau_n) - g^{mn}_{jl}, & m > n, \\ S_1(\theta^m_j, \theta^n_l; \tau_m, \tau_n), & m \le n \end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

and the other elements are set to zero. Then the quaternion determinant becomes an ordinary determinant

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho(x^1_1, \cdots, x^1_{m_1}; \tau_1; x^2_1, \cdots, x^2_{m_2}; \tau_2; \cdots; x^M_1, \cdots, x^M_{m_M}; \tau_M) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \sigma^{11}(m_1,m_1) & \sigma^{12}(m_1,m_2) & \cdots & \sigma^{1M}(m_1,m_M) \\ \sigma^{21}(m_2,m_1) & \sigma^{22}(m_2,m_2) & \cdots & \sigma^{2M}(m_2,m_M) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma^{M1}(m_M,m_1) & \sigma^{M2}(m_M,m_2) & \cdots & \sigma^{MM}(m_M,m_M) \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where each block $\sigma^{\mu\nu}(m_\mu, m_\nu)$ is obtained by removing the $m_\mu+1, m_\mu+2, \cdots, N$-th rows and $m_\nu+1, m_\nu+2, \cdots, N$-th columns from $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$. This is the unitary symmetry version of a similar determinant formula [18, 19] known for the Brownian dynamics within hermitian symmetry.

## 3.2 The Case $N$ Odd

In the case with odd $N$, we introduce expansions ($n \ge 1$, $z = \mathrm{e}^{i\theta}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_n(\theta;\tau) &= \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_n\tau} \sum_{j=-n+1}^{n} \alpha_{nj} z^j \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_j\tau}, \\ \Omega^*_n(\theta;\tau) &= \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-n}\tau} \sum_{j=-n+1}^{n} \alpha^*_{nj} z^{-j} \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-j}\tau} \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

with $\alpha_{nn} = \alpha^*_{nn} = 1$ and

$$\Omega_0(\theta;\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_0\tau} \sum_{j=-(N-1)/2}^{(N-1)/2} \alpha_{0j} z^j \mathrm{e}^{\gamma_j\tau}. \tag{3.17}$$

As before it can be easily proven that

$$r_n(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-n}\tau}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_n\tau} r_n(0) \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$s_n(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_n\tau} s_n(0), \quad s^*_n(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-n}\tau} s^*_n(0). \tag{3.19}$$

Let us now define

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pi_n^*(\theta;\tau) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\varphi,\theta;\tau,\tau)\Omega_n^*(\varphi;\tau)\mathrm{d}\varphi \\
&- \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & 0 \leq n \leq (N-1)/2, \\ \dfrac{\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-n}\tau}}{2\pi} \left.\langle \Omega_n^*(\varphi;0), \Omega_0(\varphi';0)\rangle\right|_{\tau=0} \Upsilon(\theta;\tau), & n \geq (N+1)/2, \end{array} \right. \\
&\Pi_n(\theta;\tau) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\varphi,\theta;\tau,\tau)\Omega_n(\varphi;\tau)\mathrm{d}\varphi \\
&- \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & 0 \leq n \leq (N-1)/2, \\ \dfrac{\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{n}\tau}}{2\pi} \left.\langle \Omega_n(\varphi;0), \Omega_0(\varphi';0)\rangle\right|_{\tau=0} \Upsilon(\theta;\tau), & n \geq (N+1)/2, \end{array} \right.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$\Upsilon(\theta;\tau) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{-l}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_l\tau}\lambda_{l0} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z^{l}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-l}\tau}\lambda_{l0}^*. \tag{3.21}$$

The inverse expansions ($n \geq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
z^n\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_n\tau} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n_\lambda} \lambda_{nj}\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_j\tau}\Omega_j(\theta;\tau) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_\mu} \mu_{nj}\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-j}\tau}\Omega_j^*(\theta;\tau), \\
z^{-n}\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-n}\tau} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n_\lambda} \lambda_{nj}^*\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-j}\tau}\Omega_j^*(\theta;\tau) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_\mu} \mu_{nj}^*\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_j\tau}\Omega_j(\theta;\tau)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$z^0\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_0\tau} = \sum_{j=0}^{(N-1)/2} \lambda_{0j}\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_j\tau}\Omega_j(\theta;\tau) + \sum_{j=1}^{(N-1)/2} \mu_{0j}\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-j}\tau}\Omega_j^*(\theta;\tau), \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
n_\lambda &= n_\mu + 1 = n, \quad \text{if } n > (N-1)/2, \\
n_\lambda &= n_\mu = (N-1)/2, \quad \text{if } n \leq (N-1)/2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pi_n^*(\theta;\tau) \\
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-n}\tau}\dfrac{r_n(0)}{2\pi}\left[\displaystyle\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{-l}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_l\tau}\lambda_{ln} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z^{l}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-l}\tau}\mu_{ln}^*\right], & 1 \leq n \leq (N-1)/2, \\ \mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-n}\tau}\dfrac{r_n(0)}{2\pi}\left[\displaystyle\sum_{l=n}^{\infty} z^{-l}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_l\tau}\lambda_{ln} + \sum_{l=n+1}^{\infty} z^{l}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-l}\tau}\mu_{ln}^*\right], & n \geq (N+1)/2, \end{array} \right. \\
&\Pi_n(\theta;\tau) \\
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{n}\tau}\dfrac{r_n(0)}{2\pi}\left[\displaystyle\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} z^{-l}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_l\tau}\mu_{ln} + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} z^{l}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-l}\tau}\lambda_{ln}^*\right], & 1 \leq n \leq (N-1)/2, \\ -\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{n}\tau}\dfrac{r_n(0)}{2\pi}\left[\displaystyle\sum_{l=n+1}^{\infty} z^{-l}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_l\tau}\mu_{ln} + \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} z^{l}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-l}\tau}\lambda_{ln}^*\right], & n \geq (N+1)/2. \end{array} \right.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Using the formula (3.25) for $\Pi_n^*(\theta;\tau)$ and $\Pi_n(\theta;\tau)$, we can readily derive

$$f(\theta;\tau)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_n(\tau)}[\Pi_n^*(\theta;\tau)s_n(\tau)-\Pi_n(\theta;\tau)s_n^*(\tau)]+\frac{1}{2\pi}s_0(0)\Upsilon(\theta;\tau). \tag{3.26}$$

Substituting (3.25) and (3.26) into (2.26) yields

$$S(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau')=S_1(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau')+S_2(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau'), \tag{3.27}$$

where ($z=\mathrm{e}^{i\theta},\ z'=\mathrm{e}^{i\theta'}$)

$$S_1(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau')=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{n=-(N-1)/2}^{(N-1)/2}\left(\frac{z'}{z}\right)^n\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_n(\tau-\tau')} \tag{3.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau') &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{k=0}^{(N-1)/2}\ \sum_{\nu=(N+1)/2}^{\infty}\\
\times\ & \Big[\Big(z^{-\nu}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_\nu\tau}\lambda_{\nu k}+z^{\nu}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-\nu}\tau}\mu_{\nu k}^*)\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_k\tau'}\Omega_k(\theta';\tau')\Big)\\
+\ & \Big(z^{-\nu}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_\nu\tau}\mu_{\nu k}+z^{\nu}\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-\nu}\tau}\lambda_{\nu k}^*\Big)\,\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{-k}\tau'}\Omega_k^*(\theta';\tau')\Big]\\
+\ & \frac{\Pi_0(\theta;\tau)}{s_0(\tau)}\sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2}\frac{1}{r_k(\tau')}\left[\Omega_k^*(\theta';\tau')s_k(\tau')-\Omega_k(\theta';\tau')s_k^*(\tau')\right]\\
+\ & \frac{\Omega_0(\theta';\tau')}{s_0(\tau')}\sum_{k=(N+1)/2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_k(\tau)}\left[\Pi_k^*(\theta;\tau)s_k(\tau)-\Pi_k(\theta;\tau)s_k^*(\tau)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where we set $\mu_{\nu\ 0}=\mu_{\nu\ 0}^*=0$. Finally we put (3.22) and (3.23) into (1.19) to find

$$\begin{aligned}
& I(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau')\\
=\ & \sum_{k=(N+1)/2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_k(\tau')}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{-k}(\tau'-\tau)}\Pi_k^*(\theta;\tau)\Pi_k(\theta';\tau')-\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_k(\tau'-\tau)}\Pi_k(\theta;\tau)\Pi_k^*(\theta';\tau')\right]\\
+\ & \frac{\Pi_0(\theta;\tau)}{s_0(\tau)}\sum_{k=(N+1)/2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_k(\tau')}\left[\Pi_k^*(\theta';\tau')s_k(\tau')-\Pi_k(\theta';\tau')s_k^*(\tau')\right]\\
-\ & \frac{\Pi_0(\theta';\tau')}{s_0(\tau')}\sum_{k=(N+1)/2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{r_k(\tau)}\left[\Pi_k^*(\theta;\tau)s_k(\tau)-\Pi_k(\theta;\tau)s_k^*(\tau)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Assuming that $\gamma_n=\gamma_{-n}$ and $\gamma_{n+1}>\gamma_n$ for $n\geq 0$, we can now take the asymptotic limit $\tau_j\to\infty,\quad j=1,2,\cdots M$ with all $\tau_j-\tau_l,\quad j,l=1,2,\cdots,M$ fixed. Let us first note that $\Omega_n(x;\tau)\sim O(1)$, $\Omega_n^*(x;\tau)\sim O(1)$, $\Pi_n(x;\tau)\sim O(\mathrm{e}^{-2\gamma_n\tau})$ and $\Pi_n^*(x;\tau)\sim O(\mathrm{e}^{-2\gamma_n\tau})$ for $n\geq 1$. We can further obtain an estimation

$$\Omega_0(\theta;\tau)\sim O(\mathrm{e}^{-(\gamma_0-\gamma_{(N-1)/2})\tau}),\quad \Pi_0(\theta;\tau)\sim O(\mathrm{e}^{-(\gamma_0+\gamma_{(N+1)/2})\tau}). \tag{3.31}$$

Then it is straightforward to find

$$S(x,y;\tau,\tau')\sim S_1(x,y;\tau,\tau')+O(\mathrm{e}^{-(\gamma_{(N+1)/2}-\gamma_{(N-1)/2})\tau}), \tag{3.32}$$

$$I(x,y;\tau,\tau') \sim O(\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma_{(N+1)/2}(\tau+\tau')}), \quad D(x,y;\tau,\tau') \sim O(\mathrm{e}^{\gamma_{(N-1)/2}(\tau+\tau')}). \tag{3.33}$$

Therefore $I_{jl}^{mn}$ and $D_{jl}^{mn}$ can be set to zero in the asymptotic limit and, as in the case $N$ even, a determinant expression (3.15) results. Here the matrix elements $\sigma_{jl}^{mn}$ are again defined by (3.14), although the definitions of $S_1(\theta,\theta';\tau,\tau')$ and $g(\theta,\varphi;\tau)$ are different from those in the case $N$ even.

# 4 The Case $U(\theta)=1$

In this section we deal with the simplest case $U(\theta)=1$ and explicitly give the formulas for skew orthogonal polynomials. Though this case was already treated by Pandey and Shukla, our result is more general than theirs because they evaluated only the equal time correlations.

## 4.1 The Case $N$ Even

(1) Symmetric unitary initial condition

For the bracket defined in (2.16) corresponding to the first of the three initial conditions (1.14), we can easily derive ($z=\mathrm{e}^{i\theta}$)

$$\left\langle z^{m+(1/2)}, z^{n+(1/2)}\right\rangle\Big|_{\tau=0} = \frac{4\pi i}{n+(1/2)}\delta_{m+n+1\ 0}, \tag{4.1}$$

which yields

$$R_n(\theta;0)=z^n,\ \ R_n^*(\theta;0)=z^{-n},\ \ r_n(0)=\frac{4\pi i}{n+(1/2)}. \tag{4.2}$$

(2) Self-dual quaternion unitary initial condition

Let us next consider the second of the initial conditions (1.14). Noting

$$\left\langle z^{m+(1/2)}, z^{n+(1/2)}\right\rangle\Big|_{\tau=0} = 4\pi i\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\delta_{m+n+1\ 0}, \tag{4.3}$$

we obtain

$$R_n(\theta;0)=z^n,\ \ R_n^*(\theta;0)=z^{-n},\ \ r_n(0)=4\pi i\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right). \tag{4.4}$$

(3) An analogue of antisymmetric hermitian initial condition

The third of the initial conditions (1.14) yields

$$\left\langle z^{m+(1/2)}, z^{n+(1/2)}\right\rangle\Big|_{\tau=0} = \begin{cases} \pi i, & \text{if } n-m \text{ is odd and positive,}\\ 0, & \text{if } n-m \text{ is even,}\\ -\pi i, & \text{if } n-m \text{ is odd and negative,}\end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

which implies ($n\geq 1$)

$$R_n(\theta;0)=z^n+z^{-n},\ \ R_n^*(\theta;0)=z^{-n}+z^n,\ \ r_n(0)=2\pi i \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$R_0(\theta;0)=1,\ \ r_0(0)=\pi i. \tag{4.7}$$

### 4.2 The Case $N$ Odd

(1) Symmetric unitary initial condition

In $N$ odd case of the symmetric unitary initial condition, we obtain

$$\langle z^m, z^n \rangle|_{\tau=0} = \frac{4\pi i}{n} \delta_{m+n\ 0}, \quad m, n \neq 0 \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\langle z^n, 1 \rangle|_{\tau=0} = \frac{4\pi i}{n} (-1)^n. \tag{4.9}$$

Then, putting $a_n = a_n^* = 0$, we can derive $(n \geq 1)$

$$\Omega_n(\theta; 0) = z^n, \quad \Omega_n^*(\theta; 0) = z^{-n}, \quad r_n(0) = \frac{4\pi i}{n} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\Omega_0(\theta; 0) = \sum_{j=-(N-1)/2}^{(N-1)/2} (-z)^j. \tag{4.11}$$

(2) An analogue of antisymmetric hermitian initial condition

An analogue of the antisymmetric hermitian initial condition is handled by considering the inner products

$$\langle z^m, z^n \rangle|_{\tau=0} = \begin{cases} \pi i, & \text{if } n - m \text{ is odd and positive,} \\ 0, & \text{if } n - m \text{ is even,} \\ -\pi i, & \text{if } n - m \text{ is odd and negative.} \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

We fix the constants as $a_n = a_n^* = (-1)^{n+1}$ and find $(n \geq 1)$

$$\Omega_n(\theta; 0) = z^n + z^{-n+1}, \quad \Omega_n^*(\theta; 0) = z^{-n} + z^{n-1}, \quad r_n(0) = 2\pi i \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$\Omega_0(\theta; 0) = (-1)^{(N-1)/2} \frac{1}{2} (z^{(N-1)/2} + z^{-(N-1)/2}). \tag{4.14}$$

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper we have derived quaternion determinant expressions for the dynamical multilevel correlation functions for Dyson's Brownian motion of eigenparameters (energy levels) toward unitary symmetry. As the initial conditions, we assume eigenparameter distributions of symmetric unitary, self-dual quaternion unitary and an analogue of the antisymmetric hermitian random matrices. Any of the quaternion elements is represented in terms of four functions $D(\theta, \theta'; \tau, \tau')$, $I(\theta, \theta'; \tau, \tau')$, $S(\theta, \theta'; \tau, \tau')$ and $g(\theta, \theta'; \tau - \tau')$ appearing in the two level dynamical correlation functions. Therefore analyzing the two level dynamical correlations is enough to understand the behavior of all the multilevel correlations. The two level dynamical correlations are investigated in Refs.[20, 21, 22] and some asymptotic results in the limit $N \to \infty$ are already known.

# References

[1] F.J. Dyson, J. Math. Phys. **3** (1962) 140, 157, 166.

[2] M.L. Mehta, Random Matrices (2nd edition, Academic Press, 1990).

[3] F.J. Dyson, J. Math. Phys. **3** (1962) 1191.

[4] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. **A5** (1972) 1372.

[5] P.J. Forrester, Nucl. Phys. **B416** (1994) 377.

[6] P.J. Forrester and T. Nagao, Nucl. Phys. **B532** (1998) 733.

[7] F.J. Dyson, Commun. Math. Phys. **19** (1970) 235.

[8] T. Nagao and M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **61** (1992) 1903.

[9] A. Pandey and M.L. Mehta, Commun. Math. Phys. **87** (1983) 449.

[10] M.L. Mehta and A. Pandey, J. Phys. **A16** (1983) 2655.

[11] A. Pandey and P. Shukla, J. Phys. **A24** (1991) 3907.

[12] T. Nagao and P.J Forrester, Nucl. Phys. **B563** (1999) 547.

[13] T. Nagao, Nucl. Phys. **B602** (2001) 622.

[14] E.H. Moore, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (1922) 161.

[15] E.H. Moore and R.W. Barnard, General Analysis (Memoirs of the American Philosophical Society, Philadelphia, 1935).

[16] F.J. Dyson, Helv. Phys. Acta **45** (1972) 289.

[17] M.L. Mehta, Matrix Theory (Les Editions de Physique, 1989).

[18] B. Eynard and M.L. Mehta, J. Phys. **A31** (1998) 4449.

[19] T. Nagao and P.J. Forrester, Phys. Lett. **A247** (1998) 42.

[20] H. Spohn, Interacting Brownian Particles: A Study of Dyson's model, in *Proceedings of the Workshop at the Institute of Mathematics, Minneapolis, Minnesota, March 1986*, G. Papanicolaou ed.; J. Stat. Phys. **47** (1987) 669.

[21] P.J. Forrester, Physica **A223** (1996) 365.

[22] P.J. Forrester and T. Nagao, J. Stat. Phys. **89** (1997) 69.